ABSTRACT
This research explored the relationship among human resource practitioner professional ability, administrative expert role cognition and the degree of human resource management system construction. The paper is based on a questionnaire survey. Data collected from the foreign investment enterprise list in Shanghai city, China. Eight hundred questionnaires were sent out, 223 valid questionnaires were returned (27.88%). The results show that there is a significant influence between the: (1) Professional ability of human resource practitioners and the degree of human resource management system formulation and (2) administrative expert role cognition of human resource practitioners and human resource management system formulation and also that (3) administrative expert role cognition has a moderating effect between professional ability and the degree of human resource management system formulation. The originality and value of this study is one of very few studies which have investigated that administrative expert role has the moderating effect between the human resource practitioners professional ability and human resource management system formulation.
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1. INTRODUCTION
To succeed in a globalized economy, an organization must invest in human resources enhance skills and competences. Some studies have pointed out that human resource management systems play an important role in the relationship between organizations and employees, and the degree of system construction will affect the organizational performance. (Lin et al., 2019). To effectively face new challenges, human resource departments must focus on how to add department value, how to organize, improve their effectiveness, and develop new capabilities. Shun (2018) argued due to the limited resources of the organization, human resources departments (including other departments) are required to achieve more output with less resources. Only good at process improvement can achieve the goal of efficiency improvement. Human resource professionals who play the role of administrative experts can eliminate unnecessary costs, improve efficiency, and continuously find better ways to do things. Ward (2015) asserted the human resource administrative experts also like advisors are the internal
consultants of an organization. Their jobs are to offer their expertise in human resource services (e.g. training and selection of employees) to the line managers of the firm. However the line managers are still responsible for the decision which trainings will be offered and to whom. Therefore the administrative expert can be seen as consultants i.e. allowing other employees to use your knowledge, with the main task to advise the line managers in doing their jobs. Lemmergaard’s (2009) research aimed to investigate the HR role performance of a case organization. This empirical study showed that the human resource executive can operate as administrative expert and change agent simultaneously. Lawler and Mohrman (2003) asserted that human resources must rethink its functional structure, service, and planning to add value within the structure of new organizational forms and corporate policies in today's economic climate, particularly to increase the contribution of human resource departments to organizational effectiveness in the future. Wright, Gardner and Moynihan (2002) studied on the relationship between HR activities and organizational performance and profitability, and found that HR management activities (e.g., recruitment and selection, training, performance, compensation system, employee participation) and organizational commitment are related to the measurement of operational performance, operating costs, and pre-tax revenue.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES

Human Resource Practitioner Professional Ability
To achieve an organizational purpose, one of the roles of human resource management is to assist the organization to develop management systems by coordinating and communicating with internal personnel (Hall and Goodale, 1986; Schuler, 1987). Constructing organizational policy and system processes is the basic work of a typical human resources department. Human resource professionals must design and implement effective human resource systems and processes, including recruitment management, training development, salary management, performance management and relevant personnel administration systems to ensure the coherency in management organization. Ulrich (1995) proposed that the structure of human resource professional function should include three main aspects: enterprise management knowledge, human resource professional functions and change management. Huselid, Jackson and Schuler (1997) proposed human resource professional goals may be associated with: human resource strategy planning, leadership, resource control, external Internet use management, enhancing human resource service quality, and risk-taking.

Ruona and Gibson (2004) suggested that since the early 1990s, the strategic role of human resources has gradually increased. Human resource personnel must cooperate with organizational strategies to design a human resources management system that can enhance organizational competitiveness and improve the value of human resource functions. Yu et al. (2012) designed an employee performance evaluation system according to organizational strategy, proposed measures to balance work and physical and mental stated of employees, and formulated a good reward system to attract outstanding colleagues to retain in an organization. To adapt to organizational change and implement it smoothly, a human resources department needs to construct a management system to fit the development of that particular organization. Therefore, the professional ability of human resource practitioners should influence human resource
management system formulation. Therefore, the first hypothesis of this study is:

H1: Higher professional ability of HR practitioner has a positive impact on the human resource system formulation.

Administrative Expert Role
Human resource administrative expert concept is originally from a book written by David Ulrich in 1997: “Human Resource Champions: the next agenda for adding value and delivering results”. In this book, human resource business partner concept is initiated for the first time, which improves the positioning of human resource function from administrative to the organizational business partner. Ulrich (1997) proposed human resource business partner role included: strategic partner, change agent, administrative expertise and employee champion. It’s greatly promotes the development of global human resource management and also a revolutionary concept promotion of human resource management. Above these four roles, administrative expert role is an important role of business partner. Sun (2019) asserted the human resource administrative expert also played an operation manager role because the business development was affected by the operation enterprise. The performance of operation manager is to promote the corporate rules, business procedures, listen the employee’s opinions and update the human resource projects sync with corporate goal. Shun (2018) argued the human resource professionals improve their efficiency in two ways: first, to ensure the efficiency of human resource processes, such as the administrative process reengineering. The second way for HR department to improve the overall operation efficiency of the company is to recruit, train and reward those who have the abilities to improve productivity, reduce costs and waste, and improve work efficiency. By improving administrative efficiency, human resource professionals can strength the role of administrative experts. Yusliza (2012) argued that the administrative expert concerned more with the process efficiency of internal organization. The role play needs human resource professionals design the delivery human resource process and system for staffing, training, appraisal, reward, promotion and other management flow of employees through the organization. Human resource unit adopts an administrative expert role, it ensures that human resource function processes such as staffing, training, and data management are carried out efficiently and effectively (Lemmergaard, 2009; Ulrich & Brockbank, 2005). Administrative expert role is to create competitive advantage and increasing operational efficiency through the process and management system design reasonable. From the literature review, it was noted that the administrative expert role cognition may depend on degree of individual professional abilities. The professional abilities will guide the effective design of human resource management system and process. Whether the construction of a human resource management system is robust also is a function of goal setting and performance presentation of the department unit. So, hypothesis 2a and hypothesis 2b of this study are as follow:

H2: Higher administrative expert role cognition of an HR practitioner has a positive impact on the human resource system formulation.

Human Resource Management System Formulation
Zhou (2008) believed that human resource management systems should use modern scientific
methods to carry out reasonable training, organization, and allocation of human resources, combined with certain material resources. Through the design of the system, people's thoughts and psychological behaviors should be properly induced, controlled, and coordinated to achieve their potential to achieve organizational goals. Liu and Shi (2005) pointed out human resource management practice refers to numerous policies and systems that affect employees' behaviors, attitudes and performance. Hsu et al. (2014) made an important classification according to five dimensions of human resource management: (1) recruitment and selection, (2) training and development, (3) performance evaluation and management, (4) salary and welfare, and (5) employee relation.

If a business does not have a complete human resource management system, daily operations and procedures cannot be carried out smoothly. If the human resource management system construction is good, it will attract talent effectively since the job seekers are often attentive to such issues. (Lievens et al., 2001). Peng (2012) suggested the problems of the human resource management systems of small businesses cannot be well established complete because they are limited cost scales to establish and integrate the system. Wang (2011) pointed out that organizations should establish a human resource evaluation system first, attracting talent then managing that talent. Suzanne (2010) highlighted the advantages of talent competition for large enterprises are obvious because they establish the best human resource management systems. From the above literature discussion, we documented the importance of human resource management system construction to organizational performance and personnel management. The degree of human resource management system construction also depends on the work performance of human resource departments. The performance, in turn, depends on the work ability and motivation willingness of the employees. If the professional ability is good yet achievement motivation is not, the final job performance may not achieve expected goals. Therefore, hypothesis 3 is:

H3: Administrative expert role has a moderating effect between the HR practitioner professional ability and the degree of human resource system formulation.

The purpose of this research is to discuss the relationship between the professional ability of human resource practitioners and the construction of a human resource management system, and examine the achievement motivation of human resource practitioners as a mediating variable. The research framework is shown in Figure 1.
3. METHODOLOGY
Due to the requirement of data analysis, samples came from the foreign investment enterprise lists in Shanghai City, China. Respondents were all work in HR related professional fields. Eight hundred questionnaires were sent out, 231 of which were returned (28.86%), and eight invalid questionnaires were eliminated, leaving 223 that were valid (27.88%).

The questionnaire design included three parts. (1) The professional ability questionnaire refers to the questions used by Huselid, Jackson & Schuler (1997). In this study, five of the questions were used to evaluate professional abilities, including in areas such as human resource expertise, external network resources, and service quality. Topics were measured by a 6-point Likert scale with 6 representing “agrees very much” and 1 representing “disagree very much”. The higher the score, the higher degree of the professional ability of the human resource practitioners. (2) The human resource administrative expert role questionnaire refers to the questions used by Ulrich (1997). In this study, five of the questions were used to evaluate administrative expert role cognition. Topics were measured by a 6-point Likert scale with 6 representing “agrees very much” and 1 representing “disagree very much”. The higher the score, the higher degree of the administrative expert role cognition. (3) The human resource management system construction questionnaire design based on Hsu et al. (2014), including recruitment and selection (three questions), training and development (three questions), compensation and benefits (three questions), performance appraisal (four questions), and employee relation (five).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Respondents’ Demographic Profiles
Demographic data include: gender, age, education level, organization position, organizational
scale, and industry. The basic information of the sample collected from the questionnaire is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Samples Structure Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>71.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Under 30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31~35</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36~40</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 Above</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior High School</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Master Above</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VP Above</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under 50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51~100</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>101~300</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>301~500</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>501 Above</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole sell Service</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org. Scale</td>
<td>Professional Service</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manufacture</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reliability and Validity Analysis
Reliability analysis is using internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha) to measure the relationship of all included items. After internal consistency analysis, each item’s reliability value was ≥0.7, suggesting the internal consistency reliability is acceptable (Nunnally, 1978). Human resources professional ability Cronbach's α value was 0.771, administrative expert was 0.786, the human resources system formulation is ≥ 0.7. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 : Reliability Analysis of Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Cronbach’s α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional Ability</td>
<td>Overall questions</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Expert</td>
<td>Overall questions</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resource Management System Items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment &amp; Selection</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training &amp; Development</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation &amp; Benefits</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Management</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Relation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We used Bartlett’s test of sphericity to determine the multivariate normality of the variables. The
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (K-M-O) test evaluates sampling adequacy regarding whether the distribution of values is sufficient for conducting factor analysis (George and Mallery, 2016). According to Tabachnick and Fidell (2007), data is factorable when the KMO value is above a minimum acceptable level of 0.60. In this research, the KMO test values were all above 0.6, which indicated sampling adequacy and the distribution was appropriate to conduct factor analysis. Explore factor analysis was used to measure the structural validity of the scale, and the factor with an Eigen-value above one was retained. Principle component analysis, using the varimax method for the orthogonal rotation, which is then used to adjust the factor loading.

In terms of professional ability, there were originally five questions, but we deleted questions 1 and 5 because the factor loading was not above 0.5; after deletion, the factor loading was above 0.5. The α value after deleting the questions was 0.722, indicating the internal consistency was maintained and results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Dimension, Eigen Value and Variance Explanation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>HRPRO2</td>
<td>0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability</td>
<td>HRPRO3</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRPRO4</td>
<td>0.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eigen Value</td>
<td>1.951</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance Explanation (%)</td>
<td>61.032%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KMO Sampling Adequacy Testing Value : 0.682
Bartlett Test Sphericity : Chi-Square = 137.959, d.f. = 3 Sig. = 0.000
Factor Extraction : Principal Component Analysis, PCA.

There were originally five questions about administrative expert, but we deleted questions 4 and 5 because the factor loading did not meet the requirement to be above 0.5. After deletion, the factor loading value reached the 0.5 requirement. The reliability α value after deleting the questions was 0.767, indicating the internal consistency was maintained after deleting the question. The results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Dimension, Eigen Value and Variance Explanation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>ADMEXPTO1</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert</td>
<td>ADMEXPTO2</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMEXPTO3</td>
<td>0.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eigen Value</td>
<td>2.085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance Explanation (%)</td>
<td>69.495%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KMO Sampling Adequacy Testing Value : 0.698
Bartlett Test Sphericity : Chi-Square = 184.614, d.f. = 3 Sig. = 0.000
Factor Extraction : Principal Component Analysis, PCA.
The human resource management systems, there were three questions about compensation and benefits, but we deleted question 1 because the factor loading did not meet the 0.5 requirement; there were four questions about performance appraisal, and question 4 was deleted; employee relationship, question 1 was deleted. After deletion, the other factors loading value reached the 0.5 requirement. The \( \alpha \) value after deleting the questions was \( \geq 0.7 \), which means that good internal consistency was maintained. The results are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Dimension, Eigen Value and Variance Explanation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Factor 1</th>
<th>Factor 2</th>
<th>Factor 3</th>
<th>Factor 4</th>
<th>Factor 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit &amp; Selection</td>
<td>R&amp;S1</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R&amp;S2</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R&amp;S3</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training &amp; Development</td>
<td>T&amp;D1</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T&amp;D2</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T&amp;D3</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation &amp; Benefits</td>
<td>C&amp;B1</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C&amp;B2</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Appraisal</td>
<td>PA1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PA2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PA3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Relation</td>
<td>ER2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ER3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ER4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ER5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eigen Value                  2.137  2.090  1.661  1.560  1.393
Variance Explanation (%)     14.24  13.93  11.07  10.39  9.28
Accumulate Variance Explanation (%) 14.24  27.63  38.70  49.09  58.37

KMO Sampling Adequacy Testing Value: 0.747
Bartlett Test Sphericity: Chi-Square = 611.56, d.f. = 105 Sig. = 0.000
Factor Extraction: Principal Component Analysis, PCA.

Pearson Correlation Analysis
Pearson correlation analysis was employed and Table 6 and Table 7 show the results.

Table 6 Variables Person Correlation Analysis (HRM System Formulation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAR</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>Professional Ability</th>
<th>Administrative Expert</th>
<th>HRM System Formulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional Ability</td>
<td>4.962</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Expert</td>
<td>4.933</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.367***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRM System</td>
<td>4.959</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.313***</td>
<td>0.397***</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: +, P<0.1; *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001

Table 7 Variables Person Correlation Analysis (HRM System Each Item)
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There was a significant positive correlation between the professional ability and the training and development system (0.133*), performance management (0.339***), and employee relationship (0.296***). There was a significant positive correlation between administrative expert and five sub-items: recruit and select system (0.170*), training and development system (0.253***), compensation and benefits system (0.304***), performance appraisal system (0.291***), and employee relation system (0.289***).

**Linear Hierarchy Regression Analysis**

First, this study used linear regression analysis to analyze the influence of professional ability, human resource system formulation, and administrative expert role. Then, hierarchical regression analysis was used to test whether there is a moderating effect of administrative expert role between human resource practitioner’s professional ability and the human resource management system formulation. Regression analysis used professional ability and administrative expert role as the independent variables. Gender, age, education level, organization position, and organization scale were added into the regression model as the control variables. The results are shown as Table 8.

**Table 8 Administrative Expert Moderating Effect between the Professional Ability and HR System Formulation with Linear Hierarchy Regression Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Variable</th>
<th>Model 1 (β)</th>
<th>Model 2 (β)</th>
<th>Model 3 (β)</th>
<th>Model 4 (β)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org. Scale</td>
<td>-0.054*</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent Variable</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Ability (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.119***</td>
<td>0.080**</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderator Variable</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Expert (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.134***</td>
<td>0.115***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interaction Effect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) × (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.062***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ R</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjust R²</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Professional Ability and HRM System Formulation
Table 8 shows model 2 as the professional ability of a HR practitioner and the effect of human resource management system formulation, $\beta$ is $0.119^{***}$ ($P < 0.001$), a significant positive correlation. Thus, hypothesis 1 was supported.

Administrative Expert Role and HR System Formulation
As shown in Table 8, model 3 includes administrative expert role as an independent variable in the regression analysis. Table 8 shows the effect of administrative expert on human resource management system formulation, $\beta$ is $0.134^{***}$ ($P < 0.001$), reaching a significant positive correlation. Thus, hypothesis 2 was supported.

Moderating Effect Test
As shown in Table 8, model 4 examined the moderating effect and interaction of administrative expert role between professional ability and human resource management system formulation. We integrated the recruit and select, training and development, compensation and benefit, performance appraisal, and employee relation as one variable named HR system formulation. The results in model 4, $\beta$, is $0.062^{***}$ ($P < 0.001$), which a highly significant positive relationship. Hypothesis 3 was supported.

In order to further understand the actual interact effect between administrative expert role and the human resource system formulation significant moderating effect, this research uses the interaction effect diagram to illustrate the relationship. In Figure 2, administrative expert role cognition is divided into two groups: high and low administrative expert role cognition group. It can be seen that the dotted line is steeper than the solid line. The results reflect that the high administrative expert role cognition group has a greater influence on human resource system formulation. The result indicates that the group with higher administrative expert role cognition has a higher driving force for improving the HRM system formulation. The slope of the solid line is less steep, suggesting the influence of the low administrative expert role cognition group on the human resource system formulation is lower. Administrative expert role cognition has a moderating effect between the professional ability and human resource system formulation.

Figure 2: Moderating Effect of administrative expert role between the professional ability and perfection degree of human resource management system formulation
Hypothesis outcomes are shown in Table 9.

**Table 9 Hypothesis Outcomes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Hypothesis Content</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 1</td>
<td>Higher professional ability of HR practitioner has a positive impact on the human resource system formulation.</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 2</td>
<td>Higher Administrative Expert Role Cognition of HR practitioner has a positive impact on the human resource system formulation. Administrative Expert Role Cognition has a moderating effect between the HR practitioner professional ability and the relative degree of human resource system formulation.</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 3</td>
<td>Higher professional ability of HR practitioner has a positive impact on the human resource system formulation.</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSION

This research explored the influence of the professional ability of HRM practitioners on human resource management system construction. The main results included: (1). the higher the professional ability of human resource management practitioners, the more positive impact on human resource system formulation, (2).the higher administrative expert role cognition degree of human resource practitioners, the more positive impact on human resource system formulation, and (3).administrative expert role cognition had a significant moderating effect between professional ability and human resource system formulation.

We showed the level of professional ability of HR practitioners has a significant positive impact on human resource system formulation. Organizational performance relates to human resource management system formulation. In management, enterprises improve internal process and enhancing professional abilities is one way to do so. The professional abilities of human resource practitioner include professional knowledge and skill, improve the internal customer service.
quality, formulate management system and policy and cross departmental coordination. In human resource practices, professional abilities can enhance through the internal and external professional course training, projects implementation plan, job rotation project design, job agent project and so on. The empirical results of this study also prove the professional ability of human resources practitioners has a significant positive impact on management system construction. Therefore, the HR department manager can carry out the above mentioned ability improvement plans from the daily work arrangement to improve the ability of personnel. Even though count in the annually department budget items for professional ability improvement plan and induct the external resources shall be carried out to optimize the professional ability of human resource practitioner.

Administrative expert role cognition refers to an internal tendency of individuals to engage in human resource practice works that they think is important or valuable, and strive to reach a high level job performance. Human resource practitioners with high degree cognition of administrative expert role will seek solutions in their respective areas, like to set moderately difficult goals, and hope to have specific feedback to understand the quality of their work. Most high degree administrative expert cognition will have the performance-oriented tendency. Due to the role cognition belongs to the part of deep-inside personality traits, which is difficult to be changed through external training. In business practice application, we can evaluate the human resource candidates through personality test during the recruitment and selection process. The human resource practitioners with higher administrative expert role cognition can predict that their future job performance will be better than those who with lower intention. The construction of an excellent management system can produce positive benefits for organizational performance. From the empirical results, we see that the level of administrative expert role of HR practitioners has a significant positive impact on the human resource management system formulation.

Empirical analysis showed that the degree of administrative expert role cognition does exist significant moderating effect between professional ability and human resource system formulation. This means that the level of administrative expert role cognition will affect human resource system formulation. Even for the low professional ability group, with higher administrative expert role cognition, the degree of influence on the system formulation is higher. Therefore, how to increase the administrative expert role cognition degree will be the critical point. In practices application, department manager could set up the specific goal and measurable performance evaluation standards that can effectively raise up individual internal satisfaction and positive behavior intention. It meant that the higher degree of role cognition, the higher internal driving force for the completion of the work.

This research has some limitations. Study takes administrative expert role as the only moderating variable to explore the relationship between professional ability and human resource system formulation; other behavioral motivation variables should be considered in the future. By the way, human resource business partner still have the other three roles: strategic partner, change agent, employee champion. The future research may involve them into the framework then make a deeply discussion. Yet, this research provides a robust framework to study relationships among
human resource practitioner professional abilities, administrative expert role, and the degree of 
human resource management system formulation.
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